



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/066,671	02/06/2002	Koichiro Hirao	Q68367	4642	
7590 10/03/2005		EXAMINER			
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN,			LAROSE, COLIN M		
MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, I	OC 20037-3202		2623		
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003	DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/066,671	HIRAO, KOICHIRO	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
	·	Colin M. LaRose	2623	
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISTRICT OF THE MAILING DISTRICT	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠ <sup>-</sup> 3)□  \$	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositio	on of Claims			
5)□ ( 6)⊠ ( 7)⊠ (	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application. a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-11 and 16-19</u> is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6,12-15 and 20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>21</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	withdrawn from consideration.		
Applicatio	n Papers			
10)□ T , , ,	the specification is objected to by the Examine the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)⊠ 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  Copies of the certified copies of the priorical depolication from the International Bureause the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
			•	
7.				
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Informa	s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	

Art Unit: 2623

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Arguments and Amendments

1. Applicant's amendments and arguments filed 29 July 2005, have been entered and made of record.

# Response to Amendments and Arguments

Applicant's remarks regarding independent claims 1 and 12 have been considered but are not persuasive for the following reasons. Applicant asserts that the previous combination of Watanabe and Kim is invalid ostensibly because Kim "fails to teach or suggest any target pixel, as claimed." However, Kim's disclosure expressly teaches forming a window around an input pixel Y[i][j] and using the pixels in the window to correct the input pixel (see e.g. figure 2 and the accompanying description thereof).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6, 12-15, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,823,083 by Watanabe et al. ("Watanabe") in view of U.S. Patent 6,078,686 by Kim.

Art Unit: 2623

Regarding claims 1 and 12, Watanabe discloses a color image processing device/method (figure 8) comprising:

a color space converter (11) for converting image signals to luminance signals corresponding to luminance and first and second chromaticity signals corresponding to chromaticity (i.e. converts RGB into YCC);

a luminance signal correcting unit (16) for correcting the luminance signal of a target pixel based on a saturation signal corresponding to saturation of the target pixel (saturation correcting circuit 18 corrects the luminance signal based on a maximum saturation Cmax1 for a target pixel – see e.g. column 10, lines 8-31);

a chromaticity signal correcting unit (16) for correcting the first and second chromaticity signals (Cb and Cr) of the target pixel based on first and second average chromaticity signals (CbHL and CrHL, calculated from "all pixels" – column 9, lines 34-36) obtained from the chromaticity signals of the target pixel and the predetermined pixels surrounding the target pixel, the saturation signal of the target pixel, an average saturation signal (Cmean) of the target pixel and the predetermined pixels surrounding the target pixel (Cmean, calculated from all pixels in one frame – column 9, lines 34-36), and a hue difference signal (Cb1/Cr1) representing color similarity which is obtained from the first and second chromaticity signals and the first and second average chromaticity signals (Cb1 and Cr1) (see column 9, line 26 through column 10, line 46: the CbHL, CrHL, Cmean, Cb1, and Cr1, corresponding to the claimed "first and second average chromaticity signals," "average saturation signal," and "hue difference signal," are used to correct the chromaticity signals (Cb and Cr) of the image); and

Art Unit: 2623

a color space inverter (19) for inverting the corrected luminance signal, the corrected first chromaticity signal and the corrected second chromaticity signal to image signals (i.e. converts YCC into RGB or CYMK or the like for displaying or printing).

Watanabe does not disclose correcting the luminance signal of a target pixel based on an average luminance signal obtained from luminance signals of the target pixel and predetermined pixels surrounding the target pixel, as claimed.

Kim discloses a circuit (figure 1) for enhancing color image signals. In particular, Kim discloses converting RGB signals into YUV signals for the purposes of correction. Also, Kim discloses correcting the luminance signal based on a local average of luminance pixels in order to reduce noise. The first noise reducer 200 generates the mean luminance value in a window around a target pixel and corrects the luminance signal based thereon (see figure 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Watanabe by Kim to correct the luminance based on an average luminance signal in addition to a saturation signal, since Kim discloses that correcting a luminance signal based on the average thereof is desirable in that the noise of the luminance signal is reduced.

Regarding claims 2, 3, and 13, the combination of Watanabe and Kim teaches the luminance signal correcting unit comprises a luminance correcting factor calculator for determining a luminance correcting level for correcting the luminance signal of the target pixel based on the average luminance signal and the saturation signal of the target pixel (i.e. after the luminance signal has been noise-reduced by averaging per Kim's teaching, it is subject to

Art Unit: 2623

Watanabe's correction process at circuit 16, which determines a saturation-corrected luminance level based on the averaged luminance values and the saturation of the target pixel – see e.g. column 10, lines 13-60: "saturation correction are repeated with respect to the luminance data Y ... corresponding to each and every pixel").

Regarding claims 4 and 14, Watanabe discloses

a saturation calculator for generating a saturation signal of the target pixel based on the first and second chromaticity signals;

an average saturation calculator for generating the average saturation signal based on the first and second average chromaticity signals; and

a hue difference calculator for generating the hue difference signal based on the first and second chromaticity signals as well as the first and second average chromaticity signals (circuit 16 performs these three calculations for Cmax1, Cmean, Cb1, and Cr1, corresponding to the claimed "saturation," "average saturation signal," and "hue difference signal," – see column 9, line 26 through column 10, line 43).

Regarding claims 5, 6, and 15, Watanabe discloses the chromaticity signal correcting unit comprises a color correcting factor calculator (circuit 18) for determining the chromaticity correcting level for correcting the first and second chromaticity signals of the target pixel based on the saturation signal of the target pixel, the average saturation signal, and the hue difference signal (i.e. the saturation corrector 18 uses the Cmax1, Cmean, Cb1, and Cr1 signals to determine the levels (Cb2, Cr2) at which the chroma signals are to be corrected – see column 10, lines 35-46).

Art Unit: 2623

Regarding claim 20, Watanabe discloses only correcting the luminance signal when the saturation signal of the target pixel is lower than a luminance correction threshold (see column 10, line 66 through column 11, line 7: when saturation emphasizing is to be performed, correction to the image signal, including the luminance signal, is only carried out when the saturation is below the maximum saturation level).

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 21 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claim 21, Watanabe is silent to using two different equations based on the hue difference signal, as claimed. Rather, Watanabe only utilizes a signal equation for determining the chromaticity correcting level.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 2623

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Colin M. LaRose whose telephone number is (571) 272-7423. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's acting supervisor, Jingge Wu, can be reached on (571) 272-7429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CML Group Art Unit 2623 19 September 2005

VIKKRAM BALI PRIMARY EXAMINER